

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,302	12/12/2003	Junichi Tamura	OKI 402	7322
23995	7590 03/24/2006		EXAM	INER
RABIN & Berdo, PC			BARTON, JONATHAN A	
SUITE 500	1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2186	· ·
•		•	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/733,302	TAMURA, JUNICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Barton	2186			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{12}$	<u>2/12/2003</u> .				
, ==	,				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 i Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	"□····-	(070,440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/2003. 	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/733,302 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 8 and 9 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim*** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ng et al. (US 2003/0202270).
 - a. As for claim 1 Ng discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/733,302

Art Unit: 2186

i. a) storing data in a first data storage section (Par. 49 Lines 3-6);

Page 3

- ii. b) storing data rearrangement information in a stack (Par. 44 and 43); and
- iii. c) reading the data stored in the first data storage section, and storing the data in a second data storage section based on the data rearrangement information stored in the stack (Par. 43, 49 Lines 4-9).
- b. As for claim 11 Ng discloses
 - iv. The reading and the storing are carried out by using an address conversion table and a corresponding stack pointer (Par. 44, 47 Lines 2-3).
- c. As for claim 12 Ng discloses
 - v. calculating logic OR operation or logic ADD operation of a read address and an offset register (Par. 52).
- d. As for claim 13 Ng discloses
 - vi. the reading and the storing are carried out by using a registor substituted for the stack pointer (Par. 43-44).
- e. As for claim 14 Ng discloses
 - vii. the data stored in the address conversion table includes byte write information (Par. 35).
- f. As for claim 4 Ng discloses
 - viii. a) storing a plurality of data in a first data storage section (Par. 49 Lines 3-6);

Application/Control Number: 10/733,302 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

ix. b) storing data rearrangement information in a stack (Par. 44 and 43); and

- x. c) reading the plurality of data stored in the first data storage section in an order based on the data rearrangement information stored in the stack, and storing the data in a second data storage section (Par. 43, 49 Lines 4-9).
- g. As for claim 8 Ng discloses
 - xi. a) storing a plurality of data in a first data storage section (Par. 49 Lines 3-6);
 - xii. b) storing data rearrangement information in a stack (Par. 44 and 43); and
 - xiii. c) reading the plurality of data stored in the first data storage section, and storing the data in a second data storage section based on the data rearrangement information stored in the stack (Par. 43, 49 Lines 4-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,302

Art Unit: 2186

5. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng et al. (US 2003/0202270) in view of Jhung (US 6,304,847).

- h. 2, 5 and 9 Ng fails to disclose the following limitation, which is taught by Jhung:
 - xiv. the data rearrangement information contains an address of the second data storage section (Col. 10 Lines 51-54).

Page 5

- xv. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the address data taught by Jhung with the data rearrangement system/method discloses Ng because both systems rearrange data in an effort to increase the speed and efficiency of a system and using the address data taught by Jhung provides a method of reordering that is not software intensive and doesn't waste valuable resources.
- i. As for claims 3, 6 and 10 Jhung teaches
 xvi. the first data storage section is a register; and the second data
 storage section is a random access memory (Col. 10 Lines 54-58, Col. 13
- j. As for claim 7 Jhung teaches

Lines 29-52).

xvii. the first data storage section and the second data storage section are random access memories (Col. 10 Lines 54-58).

Art Unit: 2186

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Barton whose telephone number is 571-272-8157. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Barton Examiner Art Unit 2186

JB

MATTHEW KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TCHNOLOGY CENTER 2100